

CONSULTANT/VENDOR SELECTION GUIDELINES

**FOR
SERVICE CONTRACTS**

MIDLAND COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION



PART I – GENERAL INFORMATION

This document covers the procedures for consultant/vendors to follow for the selection process used by the Midland County Road Commission.

1. BACKGROUND

The Midland County Road Commission (MCRC) uses a qualifications-based selection (QBS) process for procuring services. Requests for Proposals (RFP) for all services greater than \$25,000 will be posted on MCRC's web site.

MCRC will seek to contract with the consultant/vendor who is selected. The type of contract or authorization does not affect the selection process. If any problems occur during the contracting process that do not allow MCRC to contract with the selected consultant/vendor, the next highest qualified consultant/vendor based on qualifications will be considered for selection.

All selection results will be placed on the MCRC web site.

2. DEBRIEFING

No formal feedback will be provided. The selected vendor(s) will be announced, and the information will be posted on the website. Informal feedback may be provided via email.

3. CLASSIFICATION AND EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

- 3.1 Initial Classification of Proposals as Responsive or Nonresponsive.** All proposals will initially be classified as either "responsive" or "nonresponsive." Proposals may be found nonresponsive any time during the evaluation process or contract negotiation if any of the required information is not provided or inadequate as measured by criteria stated in the RFP; or the proposal is not within the plans and specifications described and required in the RFP. If a proposal is found to be nonresponsive, it will not be considered further.
- 3.2 Evaluation of Proposals.** The evaluation committee will evaluate the responsive proposals and recommend whether to award the contract to the highest scoring proposer. All responsive proposals will be evaluated based on stated evaluation criteria. In scoring against stated criteria, MCRC may consider such factors as accepted industry standards. These scores will be used to determine the most advantageous offering to the county.
- 3.3 Completeness of Proposals.** Scoring will be based on the proposal and other items outlined in the RFP. Submitted responses may not include references to information located elsewhere, such as Internet web sites or libraries, unless specifically requested. Information or materials presented by proposers outside the formal response or subsequent discussion/negotiation, will not be considered, will have no bearing on any award, and may result in the proposer being disqualified from further consideration.
- 3.4 Evaluation Committee Recommendation for Contract Award.** The evaluation committee will provide a recommendation for contract award to the Midland County Board of Road Commissioners (Board) that contains the scores, justification, and rationale for its decision. The Board will review the recommendation to ensure its compliance with the RFP process and criteria before approving the evaluation committee's recommendation.

4. MIDLAND COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION'S RIGHTS RESERVED

While MCRC has every intention to award a contract as a result of the RFP, issuance of the RFP in no way constitutes a commitment by the Board to award and execute a contract. Upon a determination that such actions would be in its best interest, MCRC, in its sole discretion, reserves the right to:

- cancel or terminate any RFP
- reject any or all proposals received in response to a RFP
- not award if it is in MCRC's best interest not to proceed with contract execution or
- if awarded, terminate any contract if MCRC determines adequate funds are not available

PART II – PROCESS STEPS

1. ALL SERVICES

- 1.1** A MCRC evaluation committee will be assembled for each service.
- 1.2** MCRC will post an RFP with a scope of work for each service on the web site. The RFP will identify the items that will be scored for selection, and the necessary prequalification classifications if required. If it is a service that does not require prequalification, an additional advertisement may be made in newspapers, periodicals, or other web sites.
- 1.3** Interested consultant/vendors shall submit a proposal in accordance with the guidance provided in this document by the deadline date and time indicated. The consultant/vendors should not incur significant costs developing information for their submittal. No compensation will be provided by MCRC for costs associated with the development of a proposal package. Scoring and selection will not be based on the appearance of the submitted package, but on an evaluation of the consultant/vendor's knowledge and experience in the specialty area(s).
- 1.4** MCRC will seek to contract with the selected consultant/vendor.
- 1.5** Results of selection approvals will be posted on the MCRC web site.

PART III – INSTRUCTIONS FOR SUBMITTING PROPOSALS

1. INSTRUCTIONS

- 1.1** MCRC requires all proposals to be submitted electronically for all services. Submittal location and deadlines will be included in the RFP. Unless otherwise stated in the RFP, proposals shall not exceed 10 pages, not including resumes and any applicable certifications. Total package shall not exceed 30 pages and an electronic file size of 20MB. The Proposer's will receive an e-mail reply/notification from MCRC when the proposal is received. Consultant/vendor should retain a copy of the e-mail as proof that the proposal was received on time.

- 1.2 All questions regarding the scope of work in the RFP must be submitted by e-mail as directed in the RFP. Questions will be received up to a minimum of three (3) business days prior to the date and time that the proposal is due unless otherwise stated in the RFP.
- 1.3 MCRC reserves the right to reject any and all proposals, technical proposals and /or bids received as a result of any RFP. MCRC will not pay for the information solicited or obtained as a result of a consultant/vendor's response to any RFP.

PART IV – PROPOSAL INFORMATION AND SCORING

Formal proposals are required and shall include the information as outlined in these Guidelines. This section is the information required in the proposal that will be used to score the qualifications of each consultant/vendor's proposal. The section numbering correlates to the score sheet. Therefore, the consultant/vendors should format their proposals consistent with the outline provided.

1. UNDERSTANDING OF SERVICE

Describe understanding of the service intended to be proposed. This information is to be based on the scope of services.

2. QUALIFICATIONS OF TEAM

Provide an organization chart. Describe the structure of the project team including the roles of all key personnel and subconsultants. For each subconsultant, describe role in service and include what percent of the task that the subconsultant is expected to provide. Provide resumes for each of the key staff of the prime and subconsultant(s).

3. PAST PERFORMANCE

The MCRC will contact references and review relevant past performance from the previous five (5) years.

Record of past accomplishment- Proposer should have satisfactorily completed past projects, been cooperative and flexible, and ended past projects according to the original budget and time schedule.

4. INNOVATION

Innovations proposed by the vendor could include one or more of the following: new technologies, new materials, time saving measures, cost saving measures, methods of project improvement, or alternative strategies.

5. LOCATION

The location of work team in relation to the MCRC Office in Midland County.

PART V – EVALUATION CRITERIA

1. PRIMARY SCORING GUIDE

The evaluation committee will review and evaluate the Understanding of Service, Qualification of Team, Past Performance, and Innovation portions of the proposal based on the following criteria. A maximum total number of points are available with each category of evaluation criteria broken down with points assigned to each.

1.1. Superior Response: 5

A superior response will be a highly comprehensive, excellent reply that meets all of the requirements of the areas within that category. In addition, the response covers areas not originally addressed within the RFP category and includes additional information and recommendations that would prove both valuable and beneficial to the agency. This response is considered to be an excellent standard, demonstrating the proposer's authoritative knowledge and understanding of the project.

1.2 Very Good Response: 4

A very good response will provide useful information, while showing experience and knowledge within the category. The proposal is well thought out and addresses all requirements set forth in the RFP. The proposer provides insight into their expertise, knowledge and understanding of the subject matter.

1.3 Good Response: 3

A good response meets all the requirements and has demonstrated in a clear and concise manner a thorough knowledge and understanding of the subject matter. This response demonstrates an above average performance with no apparent deficiencies noted.

1.4 Fair Response: 2

A fair response meets the requirements in an adequate manner. This response demonstrates an ability to comply with guidelines, parameters, and requirements with no additional information put forth by the proposer.

1.5 Poor Response: 1

A poor response minimally meets most requirements set forth in the RFP. The proposer has demonstrated knowledge of the subject matter only.

2. LOCATION SCORING GUIDE

The evaluation committee will review and evaluate the Location portion of the proposal based on the following criteria.

2.1. Vendor office in Midland County: 5

2.2 Vendor office outside Midland County but < 30 miles from MCRC office: 4

2.3 Vendor office > 30 miles but < 60 miles from MCRC office: 3

2.4 Vendor office > 60 miles but < 90 miles from MCRC office: 2

2.5 Vendor office > 90 miles from MCRC office: 1

All service proposals are considered the property of the submitter and do not become public property unless selected. Names of firms and scores shall be posted, and firms that are not selected will have their information removed from MCRC files.

SERVICE VENDOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROCESS

The Midland County Road Commission (MCRC) will complete a performance evaluation for all types of services contracted, for both prime vendors and subvendors, in order to: provide documented feedback of performance; promote project management/vendor communication; identify and document areas of potential improvements; and improve the overall quality of MCRC projects. The vendor and subvendor(s) will be rated on the following twelve factors on a scale from 1 to 10, if they are applicable to the project:

1. Was the vendor in control of the services provided to MCRC?
2. Did the vendor communicate adequately with the Road Commission staff?
3. Was the vendor responsive to requests from the Road Commission, including requests for information and requests to make changes in the work?
4. Did the vendor follow good safety practices?
5. Did the vendor meet deliverable date requirements?
6. Did the vendor coordinate work with subvendor's work, exercise authority over subvendors, provide notice of subvendor work schedule, and ensure that subvendors were in compliance with contract requirements?
7. Did the vendor have competent and sufficient personnel with the technical expertise needed to successfully complete the project?
8. Did the vendor have adequate and sufficient resources other than personnel (equipment, manuals, etc.) to fulfill the requirements of the scope of services?
9. Did the vendor provide a quality work product?
10. Did the vendor properly notify and coordinate work with other affected parties such as utility companies, property owners, local units of government, and other MCRC areas?
11. Did the vendor meet the applicable environmental requirements, such as documentation, enforcement, obtaining permits, studies, etc.?
12. Did the vendor comply with applicable federal, state and local laws and regulations and/or MCRC guidelines and procedures? This includes, but is not limited to, compliance with prompt payment to subvendors, submitting accurate and timely invoices, responding to contractual issues, and adhering to any Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) participation guidelines.

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION APPEAL PROCESS

MCRC has a service vendor performance evaluation appeal process to provide service vendors an opportunity to contest performance evaluation scores. The appeal process is documented below:

Informal Review Procedures

1. Within 21 calendar days from the date a service vendor receives a performance evaluation (excluding interim evaluations), the service vendor submits a written request to the MCRC Project Manager to meet with the Project Manager, to review an evaluation of any rating of 7 or below. If the written request is not received within the 21 calendar day period, the original evaluation becomes final and binding, and will not be subject to further contest or appeal.
2. Within 10 days of receipt of service vendor request, the MCRC Project Manager schedules the Informal Review meeting and notifies the service vendor of meeting date and location. The MCRC Project Manager may have other Road Commission employees or representatives attend and participate in the meeting, as determined appropriate.

The service vendor shall not submit any additional information to MCRC prior to the Informal Review meeting. However, on a case-by-case basis, it may be determined during the Informal Review by the Project Manager that the service vendor should provide additional information, if the information will support the service vendor.

If it is determined at the Informal Review meeting that the service vendor may submit additional information, the information must be received by the Project Manager within 14 calendar days of the date of the Informal Review meeting.

3. The MCRC Project Manager notifies the service vendor, in writing, of a decision within 30 calendar days of the informal review meeting or within 30 calendar days of the receipt of any additional information provided, whichever is later. The performance evaluation is modified as applicable.

If the consultant does not agree with Informal Review Decision, the Formal Appeal process is as follows:

Formal Appeal Procedures

1. Within 14 calendar days from the date a service vendor receives the Informal Review decision, or from the date the service vendor receives a revised evaluation, the service vendor submits a written request to the MCRC Project Manager to appeal any rating of 7 or below. If the written request for appeal is not received within the 14 calendar day period, the Informal Review decision becomes final and binding, and will not be subject to further contest or appeal.
2. The Project Manager shall contact the MCRC Managing Director to schedule an appeal meeting. A formal appeal filed by a vendor will be considered by a Performance Evaluation Appeal Panel (Panel). The Panel shall be comprised of three Management level individuals from MCRC that were not directly involved in the management of the project.

Within 10 days of receipt of the request, the Managing Director schedules the Formal Appeal meeting and notifies the services vendor of the meeting date and location. MCRC may have other Road Commission employees or representatives attend and participate in the meeting, as determined appropriate.

3. The Panel meets with the service vendor and MCRC Project Manager, and reviews all relevant information provided by the service vendor and Project Manager.

The service vendor shall not submit any additional information to MCRC prior to the Formal Appeal. However, on a case-by-case basis, it may be determined, by the Panel, during the Formal Appeal, that the service vendor should provide additional information, if the information will support the service vendor.

If it is determined by the Panel at the Formal Appeal meeting that the service vendor may submit additional information, the information must be received by the MCRC Project Manager within 14 calendar days of the date of the Formal Appeal meeting.

The Panel may overturn, modify, or confirm the Informal Review decision. If any further review and consultation with Road Commission employees and representatives is necessary, the Panel shall make its decision after the necessary meetings or discussions have occurred.

4. The Performance Evaluation Appeal Panel notifies the service vendor in writing of a decision within 30 calendar days of the meeting or within 30 calendar days of the receipt of any additional information provided, whichever is later. The performance evaluation is modified as applicable.

The decision of the Panel constitutes the final MCRC decision and will not be subject to further contest or appeal.